

**THE SOUTH WALES EVENING POST  
SWANSEA, GLAMORGAN, WALES**

**8 NOVEMBER 1934**

(page 8)

**"DISAPPEARING BABY" STORY  
IN "MAGIC" CASE APPEAL**

**AUTHOR'S REPUTATION DISCUSSED  
IN HIGH COURT**

More details of the magic which Mr. Aleister Crowley is alleged to have practiced were given in the Court of Appeal today. Mr. Crowley, the author, was appealing from the judgment of Mr. Justice Swift in a libel action he brought against Miss Nina Hamnett, authoress of "Laughing Torso," Messrs. Constable and Company, publishers, and Messrs. Charles Whittingham and Briggs, printers.

Mr. Crowley said the book imputed to him the practice of black magic.

According to him black magic was "foul and criminal," and he had never practised it.

**FORM OF MAGIC"**

The case for the respondents was that on Mr. Crowley's admissions in the witness-box and on statements made in his published works he had practiced a form of magic which was "the negation of what every decent and right-minded person had ever said to be either decent or sacred."

They also maintained that his reputation was that of a "black magician."

Continuing his reply for the publishers, Mr. Malcolm Hilbery, K.C., said the statements in "Laughing Torso" were not defamatory of Mr. Crowley, nor were they something that could be understood by reasonable people as damaging his reputation, "having regard to what his reputation was, the material on which he had built it, and what he had allowed it publicly to be.

"A libel is something that defames," said counsel.

"It defames if it really diminishes the reputation a man enjoys, whatever his reputation is."

Lord Justice Roche: You mean that it would not be defamatory to say of the devil that he is black. (Laughter.)

## **ALL "MOONSHINE"**

Lord Justice Slessor: I want to know whether it was part of the plaintiff's case that the words complained of meant not only that he had practiced black magic but that in consequence of his magic a baby had disappeared.

Mr. Hilbery said Mr. Eddy, for Mr. Crowley, opened the case in that way.

Lord Justice Roche: If the natural inference from the words was that a murder had been committed I don't think they would have been followed by a reference to a goat. There would have been something about the police.

Mr. Hilbery: It is all "moonshine." I invited Mr. Crowley to make Mr. Hilbery disappear, and I did not feel that I was in peril. (Laughter).

Lord Justice Slessor: If he had done that it would certainly have been ground for a new trial. (Laughter).

During further argument Lord Justice Greer said he rather gathered that answers Mr. Hilbery received concerned sex perversions and eroticism.

Counsel: And magic.

## **FOR THE JURY?**

Lord Justice Greer: But that is not sufficient to justify a statement that he used his magic for the purpose of killing a baby.

Mr. Hilbery: It does not say he killed a baby. Many people by conjuring might make a baby disappear.

Lord Justice Slessor: I don't think it could be said it was not defamatory to say that by magic a man had made a baby disappear.

Lord Justice Greer: A man might be extremely erotic and yet not be a man who would use his powers to injure an infant.

Mr. Hilbery: It was obvious that was not the meaning of the words.

Lord Justice Greer: I think that was for the jury.

Mr. Hilbery: Any jury would have been perverse if it came to any other conclusion.

## **COURT'S VIEW**

Later Lord Justice Greer intimated that at the moment the view that commended itself to the court was: "This is a case in which we can say that although the summing-up might have

been more satisfactory if it had been more detailed, yet we are inclined to come to the conclusion that the result would necessarily have been the same however full the summing-up."

Mr. Martin O'Connor interposed to say that in view of this intimation he would not address the Court on behalf of Miss Hamnett.

Mr. Eddy, in reply, for Mr. Crowley, said that though there was much to suggest that his client had practiced magic there was a vital distinction between white and black magic. The court might take cognizance of an Act of Parliament passed in 1735.

Lord Justice Greer: If you go back so far as that he would probably have been burned at the stake whether he called his magic white or black. (Laughter).